

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/761,040	Applicant(s) GIRAULT, MARC	
	Examiner VENKAT PERUNGA VOOR	Art Unit 2132	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 November 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see pages 13-21, filed 11/30/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-33 under 35 USC § 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of EP 0325238 to Yeda Research and Development Company(Yeda).

Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication 2002/0129247 to Jablon in view of US Patent 5867577 to Patarin and further in view of EP 0325238 to Yeda.

Regarding Claim 1, 31, Jablon discloses the producing random number specific to transaction see Fig. 1 item 103; sending of parameter x, that is linked to random number r by a mathematical relationship see Fig. 1 item Q_A; calculating a parameter y whose input parameters are random number r specific to transaction and private key s see Fig. 1 item 105 & 107; sending the authentication value see item 108; verifying the authentication value using public key see item 127. But Jablon does not explicitly disclose a chip and an application conducting the said authentication. However, Patarin discloses the authentication between chip and application loaded onto a memory

see Fig. 1. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include chip and an application conducting the said authentication in the invention of Jablon in order to introduce the authentication on a carrier device as taught in Patarin see Col 1 Ln 10-21. But Jablon nor Patarin disclose the producing of pseudo-random number at application prior to a transaction, calculating a corresponding parameter x at the application prior to the transaction, and the parameter x being linked to pseudo-random number r by a mathematical relationship and storing of parameter x in memory of chip prior to the transaction. However, Yeda discloses the producing of pseudo-random number at application prior to a transaction see Fig. 1 item 14, calculating a corresponding parameter x at the application prior to the transaction, and the parameter x being linked to pseudo-random number r by a mathematical relationship see Fig. 1 item 12 and storing of parameter x in memory of chip prior to the transaction see Page 3 Ln 55-57. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the using of parameter r and calculating of value x prior to the transaction in order to other end to easily verify the value x for authentication as taught in Yeda see Fig. 1 item 54.

Regarding Claim 2, Jablon does not disclose the mixing of input parameters by a mixing function, changing the state from old state to new state and determining a series of bits to form whole or portions of random number. However, Patarin discloses the a mixing function see Col 3 Ln 5, changing the state from old state to new state see Col 4 Ln 40-53 and determining a series of bits to form whole or portions of random number see Col 3 Ln 46-55. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include mixing of input parameters by a mixing function, changing the state from old state to new state and determining a series of bits to

Art Unit: 2132

form whole or portions of random number in the invention of Jablon in order to individually varying with card as taught in Patatin see Col 3 Ln 10-11.

Regarding Claim 3, Jablon discloses the sharing of keys between two entities see Abstract.

Regarding Claim 4, Jablon discloses the set of G belonging g^f see Par. 0058 & Par. 0082.

Regarding Claim 5, 30, Jablon discloses the set G belonging to Z_n , where it is set of positive or null integers less than n and prime see Par. 0084 & Par. 0037.

Regarding Claim 6, 31, Jablon discloses the set G being based on elliptical curve see Par. 0125.

Regarding Claim 7-10, 12, Jablon discloses the arithmetical operation from a list of addition, subtraction, and left- and right shifts see Par. 0131 & Par. 0149.

Regarding Claim 11, 13-29, Jablon discloses the various configurations of $g^f = x y$ see Par. 0146-0163 & Par. 0087-0089.

Claims 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication 2002/0129247 to Jablon in view of US Patent 5867577 to Patarin, further in view of US Patent 2003/0182554 to Gentry et al.(hereinafter Gentry) and further in view of EP 0325238 to Yeda.

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding Claim 32, Jablon nor discloses the exclusive use of public parameters to verify the authentication results. However, Gentry disclose the use of public parameters exclusively to verify the authentication results see Fig. 5 item 516. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the exclusive use of public parameters to verify the authentication results in the invention of Jablon in order to have to be able to increase the number of processors added to the network as taught in Gentry see Fig. 6. Jablon nor Patarin disclose the producing of pseudo-random number at application prior to a transaction, calculating a corresponding parameter x at the application prior to the transaction, and the parameter x being linked to pseudo-random number r by a mathematical relationship and storing of parameter x in memory of chip prior to the transaction. However, Yeda discloses the producing of pseudo-random number at application prior to a transaction see Fig. 1 item 14, calculating a corresponding parameter x at the application prior to the transaction, and the parameter x being linked to pseudo-random number r by a mathematical relationship see Fig. 1 item 12 and storing of parameter x in memory of chip prior to the transaction see Page 3 Ln 55-57. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the using of parameter r and calculating of value x prior to the transaction in order to other end to easily verify the value x for authentication as taught in Yeda see Fig. 1 item 54.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

Art Unit: 2132

advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is (571)272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/VP/
Venkat Perungavoor
Examiner
Art Unit 2132
February 8, 2008

Application/Control Number: 10/761,040
Art Unit: 2132

Page 7